

# MHC-restricted antigen presentation and recognition: constraints on gene, recombinant and peptide vaccines in humans

E. Cunha-Neto

Laboratório de Imunologia de Transplantes, Instituto do Coração, Hospital das Clínicas, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brasil

## Abstract

The target of any immunization is to activate and expand lymphocyte clones with the desired recognition specificity and the necessary effector functions. In gene, recombinant and peptide vaccines, the immunogen is a single protein or a small assembly of epitopes from antigenic proteins. Since most immune responses against protein and peptide antigens are T-cell dependent, the molecular target of such vaccines is to generate at least 50-100 complexes between MHC molecule and the antigenic peptide per antigen-presenting cell, sensitizing a T cell population of appropriate clonal size and effector characteristics. Thus, the immunobiology of antigen recognition by T cells must be taken into account when designing new generation peptide- or gene-based vaccines. Since T cell recognition is MHC-restricted, and given the wide polymorphism of the different MHC molecules, distinct epitopes may be recognized by different individuals in the population. Therefore, the issue of whether immunization will be effective in inducing a protective immune response, covering the entire target population, becomes an important question. Many pathogens have evolved molecular mechanisms to escape recognition by the immune system by variation of antigenic protein sequences. In this short review, we will discuss the several concepts related to selection of amino acid sequences to be included in DNA and peptide vaccines.

## Key words

- Vaccines
- MHC
- Antigen recognition
- Antigen processing
- T cells
- Molecular evolution

## Correspondence

E. Cunha-Neto  
Laboratório de Imunologia de  
Transplantes, Instituto do Coração  
Av. Enéas C. Aguiar, 500, 3º andar  
05403-000 São Paulo, SP  
Brasil  
Fax: +55-11-282-9350  
E-mail: edecunha@usp.br

Presented at the International  
Symposium "The Third Revolution  
on Vaccines: DNA Vaccines",  
Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil,  
November 3-7, 1997.

Research supported by CNPq and  
FAPESP.

Received November 6, 1998  
Accepted November 27, 1998

## Molecular mechanisms involved in MHC-restricted antigen presentation and recognition by T cells

Vaccination with DNA- or peptide-based vaccines relies on T cell recognition, either in the afferent (T cell-dependent antibody-mediated responses) or in both the afferent and effector limbs of the immune response (T cell-mediated responses - e.g., delayed hypersensitivity). In order to induce protec-

tive immunity, epitopes contained in these "new generation" subunit vaccines must i) match epitopes naturally presented to the immune system during infection; ii) be recognized by the entire target population, and iii) induce an immune response of an adequate isotype. The central event in the cellular immune response to invading microorganisms is the specific recognition of foreign peptides bound to major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules by the  $\alpha\beta$

T cell receptor (TCR). In most immunological systems, including post-infectious protective immune responses, only some peptides from a large number of potential candidates are actually the target of a vigorous immune response. The epitopes to which a natural immune response is generated upon immunization with the whole protein are called dominant epitopes. Immunization with overlapping peptides induces suboptimal responses to additional peptides, the so-called "cryptic" epitopes. A large number of factors influence dominance and crypticity of peptide epitopes, basically availability for MHC binding, MHC binding itself, and the recognition of the MHC:peptide complex by T cells via their antigen receptors (1). While peptide vaccines may bind directly to the MHC, recombinant vaccines must undergo proteolytic processing through the MHC class II pathway in endosomal vesicles, and expression products of DNA vaccines enter both the cytoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum MHC class I pathway and can also be uptaken by professional antigen-presenting cells (2). The steps involved in antigen presentation and recognition by T cells that may influence the selection of antigenic protective epitopes from whole proteins will be reviewed.

### **Availability of peptides for MHC binding in antigen presentation pathways**

Several factors influence the availability of a given peptide sequence for processing and presentation, at both the quantitative and qualitative levels. An abundant protein has a higher chance of yielding peptides available for presentation. In intracellular eukaryotic parasites like *Trypanosoma cruzi*, proteins that are secreted may be a major source of peptides for the MHC class I presentation pathway (3). Cleavage site-specific proteases and transporter proteins involved in the processing of protein antigens into peptides also seem to play a role in the

selection of antigenic peptides. Proteasomes, which generate peptides from cytoplasmic proteins for the class I pathway, seem to have preferred cleavage sites flanking dominant CD8+ T cell epitopes in protein sequences (4). The human TAP (transporter associated with antigen presentation) molecule selects peptides according to a binding motif on their three amino-terminal and two carboxy-terminal residues (5). Cathepsin and carboxypeptidase, which are present in the phagolysosomal compartment and are involved in proteolytic processing of endocytosed proteins in the MHC class II pathway, display preferential cleavage of dibasic (RR, KK, KR or RK) sites (6). Peptides eluted from human HLA class II molecules frequently display proline residues close to their N-terminus, consistent with N-aminopeptidase activity (7).

### **HLA polymorphism and binding of T cell epitopes**

T cells recognize antigen via the variable regions of the clonotypic T cell antigen receptor molecule (TCR). Zinkernagel's (8) pioneering experiments and succeeding refinements performed by many authors disclosed that T cells recognize antigen as proteolytic peptide fragments together with the individual's own MHC molecule. CD8+ T cells recognize peptide antigen as 8-10-residue-long peptides embedded into the antigen-binding groove of MHC class I molecules (the products of gene loci H2-K, -S, and -L in mice; HLA-A, -B and -C in humans). CD4+ T cells recognize peptide fragments of 13 residues or longer embedded into the open-ended antigen-binding groove of MHC class II molecules (the products of gene loci H2 I-A and I-E in mice; HLA-DR, -DQ and -DP in humans).

The structure of MHC molecules complexed with different peptides was solved by X-ray crystallography studies. Bound peptides are buried in the antigen-binding groove

formed between the helices of the MHC molecule, leaving only a few of their side chains available for direct TCR contact (9-12). Peptides bind to MHC class II in an extended conformation where the side chain of each amino acid residue is rotated 120° relative to the previous one; nine residues lie within the antigen-binding groove (10) while flanking residues may also interact with the TCR (13). A network of hydrogen bonds between the peptide main chain and residues on the groove assures a tight interaction between peptide and MHC; specific binding of certain peptides to an MHC molecule comes from the interaction of peptide side chains with the irregular surface of the floor and sides of the groove, the "pockets" and ridges formed by the protrusion of MHC residues. The major pockets in the floor of the groove of HLA-DR molecules are occupied by the side chains of residues 1, 4, 6, and 9 of the bound peptide (10,11).

Each human individual carries up to twelve different alleles from the six HLA class I and class II loci. HLA molecules are highly polymorphic, with more than 600 allelic forms for HLA class I and class II (14). This polymorphism is concentrated in the region encoding the peptide-binding groove, yielding very diverse amino acid sequences in this region among different HLA alleles. Thus, most pockets in the HLA groove are shaped by clusters of polymorphic HLA residues, with peculiar chemical and size characteristics in different HLA molecules. For example, a positively charged side chain (e.g. lysine) in one HLA molecule may interact preferentially with negatively charged residues (e.g. aspartic or glutamic acid) in a bound peptide; conversely, a hydrophobic residue in the same position of another HLA molecule may only accept peptides with hydrophobic residues in the corresponding position (15). It follows that each allelic HLA molecule only binds peptides with amino acid sequences that are capable of interacting with its antigen-binding groove.

These preferences can be evident after the sequence alignment of peptides known to interact with a given HLA molecule, disclosing certain peptide positions where amino acids with similar side chains occur at increased frequency, the peptide-binding preferences or "motifs" (16). The study of large, MHC-selected peptide pools, and the sequencing of endogenous MHC-bound peptides (17) or the analysis of large peptide pools from phage display libraries selected by binding to HLA-DR (18) allowed a generalization of rules for peptide binding to individual HLA molecules (15,19). Single-chain substitution of "designer" (optimally binding) peptides led to the establishment of motifs based on quantitative matrices, where the effect of each amino acid residue in each peptide position was taken into account, generating a numeric score, rather than the all-or-none result for simple motifs (20). Further study of peptides eluted from HLA-DR and DQ suggested that residues flanking the bound nonamers may influence overall peptide binding preferences in an allele-specific manner (21), confirming what was observed in the mouse system (6). Since each of the different allelic HLA molecules has different peptide-binding preferences, a distinct set of epitopes from a given protein antigen will be presented to T cells in each individual bearing a different HLA molecule.

On the whole, this implies that different individuals may be able to recognize different antigenic peptides from a given protein. This interindividual variation of antigen recognition can be a problem for selecting immunogens for DNA, recombinant and peptide vaccines, since they must contain epitopes recognized by individuals with a wide range of different HLA molecules. This can be circumvented by including additional epitopes that can be presented by different HLA alleles covering most of the population, which can increase the complexity of the vaccine. On the other hand, it has been observed that several distinct HLA class I

molecules share peptide-binding preferences ("supermotifs") and can bind certain common peptides with high affinity. Thus, the identification of single peptides that can bind multiple HLA types, the so-called "promiscuous" epitopes, could lead to effective coverage of the human population by a peptide-based vaccine (19).

### **T cell receptor recognition of MHC/peptide complex: structure and function**

Recently, the structure of two trimolecular complexes (TCR bound to MHC/peptide) was solved: a complex containing a human  $\alpha\beta$  TCR bound to an HLA-A2 molecule loaded with a nonapeptide derived from the HTLV-1 virus (22) and a mouse TCR complexed to an H-2Kb/peptide ligand (23). In both structures, TCR V $\alpha$ V $\beta$  regions interact with the composite surface made of residues belonging to the antigenic peptide and to both MHC  $\alpha$ 1 and  $\alpha$ 2 helices. The TCR is oriented diagonally across the peptide-binding site of HLA-A2, with the TCR V $\alpha$  contacting the left end of the peptide-binding groove, toward the amino-terminal part of the MHC  $\alpha$ 1 helix and of the peptide, while the TCR V $\beta$  is positioned toward the carboxy terminus of the peptide. The third complementarity-determining regions (CDR3) of the TCR V $\alpha$  and V $\beta$  chains, which display extremely diverse sequences due to junctional diversity that occurred during VDJ rearrangement, contact the center of the bound peptide and seem to have extensive conformational flexibility. In TCR:MHC class II:peptide complexes, a similar orientation seems to apply; however, N- and C-terminal residues flanking the peptide's nine MHC-bound residues may interact with the TCR (13). Flanking residues may also hinder TCR interaction with MHC/peptide complex (6,13).

Along a peptide, the side chains of certain residues interact with the TCR (TCR

contact residues) while residues in other positions interact with the MHC molecule (MHC contact residues or anchor residues). Substitutions at MHC contact residues may yield peptide analogs binding MHC with different avidities from the parent peptide. Avidity of the peptide for MHC and dosage seems to influence the cytokine profile resulting after immunization. It has been reported that among peptide analogs binding to MHC with different affinities over a 10,000-fold range, the higher affinity peptide always elicits a T1-type cytokine profile, while the lower affinity analog yields a T2-type profile at low doses (24) but a T1-type profile at higher doses (25). Peptide analogs with conservative substitutions at TCR contact residues may be full antagonists or partial agonists, inducing anergy or partial or differential T cell activation (26,27).

### **Prediction of T cell epitopes**

Ideally, modern subunit vaccines should contain highly immunogenic T cell epitopes intentionally chosen from the available pathogen proteins. However, a comprehensive screening of T cell epitopes by random cloning or direct synthesis of overlapping peptides from large numbers of gene sequences is often impossible. With the growing knowledge on the nature of TCR recognition of the MHC-peptide complex, several investigators tried to develop methods to predict T cell epitopes. The first algorithms, based on the simple alignment of antigenic peptides (and often disregarding diversity of MHC alleles), were no better than random when tested on larger databases (28). MHC allele-specific peptide-binding motifs were used in the prediction of T cell epitopes (29-31), minimizing the number of peptides to be synthesized and assayed. The success of MHC-based epitope prediction seems to lie in the filtering out of potential T cell epitopes, since most natural peptides seem to lack the capacity to interact with MHC mol-

ecules (20). MHC binding algorithms based on quantitative matrices yielded scores which correlated with binding affinity (20) like the TEPITOPE described for up to 25 distinct HLA-DR molecules (15), or the Internet server BIMAS (32) for several mouse and human MHC class I alleles, which permit the selection of high affinity binding peptides, the ones with the greater chance of eliciting T cell responses (33).

From the vaccine immunologist's point of view, however, identification of MHC allele-specific T cell epitopes may not be enough, since one is searching for vaccine epitopes that can effectively cover the human population. This implies the identification of "promiscuous" epitopes that can bind to several MHC alleles whose combined frequency in the population approaches 100%. The use of HLA class I supermotifs (19), the alignment of peptides binding to several distinct HLA-DR molecules with TEPITOPE (15) and the identification of sequences containing clustered motifs for several distinct MHC molecules (34; Cunha-Neto E and Gruber A, unpublished observations) can identify such potentially "promiscuous" epitopes.

### **Escape from presentation and recognition by "molecular evolution"**

For millions of years pathogens have evolved molecular mechanisms to escape effective presentation and recognition to the immune system by variation of antigenic protein sequence, after interplaying with the host's immune system (35). Antigen processing and peptide transport mechanisms are ancient in evolutionary terms. The major features of the MHC peptide-binding groove, like the hydrophobic nature of most pockets, leading to preferential binding of peptides with hydrophobic residues, are phylogenetically conserved from cartilaginous fish and sharks to man (~250 million years) (36). Sequence variation in or flanking an epitope

may lead to an inadequate response (37) by several mechanisms such as loss of processing signals (e.g., loss of sites for sequence-specific proteases or a different folding pattern), epitope competition and epitope sequestration (6,38), abrogation of MHC binding (39), antagonism or partial agonism of T cell receptor signalling (40), establishment of ineffective "mock" dominant epitopes (e.g., those in variant regions of CS proteins from *Plasmodia*, stronger than those in conserved regions), and molecular mimicry with self or abundant environmental epitopes (41,42). In the case of molecular mimicry between host and pathogen epitopes, it is important to identify potentially pathogenic crossreactive epitopes to be excluded from a protective immunogen, as in the case of *Streptococcus pyogenes* M protein and rheumatic fever (43). Tandemly repeated domains of parasitic protozoan proteins, which are immunodominant B-cell epitopes, display nonrandom amino acid usage with few hydrophobic residues leading to deficient binding to MHC and T cell recognition (Abel LCJ, Gruber A and Cunha-Neto E, unpublished observations; 44). Thus, the immune response of infected individual to "intact" pathogen protein antigens may reflect the evolutionary success of the parasite. DNA or recombinant vaccines encoding whole proteins or their genes will carry most molecular sequence variation escape mechanisms evolved by the pathogen. Immunization with substituted synthetic peptides or DNA/proteins can present neoepitopes or alter the hierarchy of dominant/cryptic T cell epitopes, bypassing recognition escape mechanisms (45).

### **Concluding remarks**

The astonishing rate at which knowledge of T cell antigen recognition has been accumulating in the last decade is setting the stage for the comprehensive search for ideal epitopes and further "engineering" of immu-

nogens from pathogens aiming at better vaccines. If widely applied, such knowledge will foster the production of several novel, highly effective subunit vaccines.

## References

- Sercarz EE, Lehmann PV, Ametani A, Benichou G, Miller A & Moudgil K (1993). Dominance and crypticity of T cell antigenic determinants. *Annual Review of Immunology*, 11: 729-766.
- Fu TM, Ulmer JB, Caulfield MJ, Deck RR, Friedman A, Wang S & Donnelly JJ (1997). Priming of cytotoxic T lymphocytes by DNA vaccines: requirement for professional antigen presenting cells and evidence for antigen transfer from myocytes. *Molecular Medicine*, 3: 362-371.
- Garg N, Nunes MP & Tarleton RL (1997). Delivery by *Trypanosoma cruzi* of proteins into the MHC class I antigen. *Journal of Immunology*, 158: 3293-3302.
- Niedermann G, Butz S, Ihlenfelt HG, Grimm R, Lucchiari M, Hoschutzky H, Jung G, Maier B & Eichmann K (1995). Contribution of proteasome-mediated proteolysis to the hierarchy of epitopes presented by major histocompatibility complex class I molecules. *Immunity*, 2: 289-299.
- Van Endert PM, Riganelli D, Greco G, Fleischhauer K, Sidney J, Sette A & Bach JF (1995). The peptide binding motif for the human transporter associated with antigen processing. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 182: 1883-1895.
- Moudgil KD, Deng H, Nanda NK, Grewal IS, Ametani A & Sercarz EE (1996). Antigen processing and T cell repertoires as crucial aleatory features in induction of autoimmunity. *Journal of Autoimmunity*, 9: 227-234.
- Falk K, Rotzschke O, Stevanovic S, Jung G & Rammensee HG (1994). Pool sequencing of natural HLA-DR, DQ and DP ligands reveals detailed peptide motifs, constraints of processing, and general rules. *Immunogenetics*, 39: 230-242.
- Zinkernagel RM & Doherty P (1974). Restriction of in vitro T cell-mediated cytotoxicity in lymphocytic choriomeningitis within a syngeneic or semiallogeneic system. *Nature*, 248: 701-702.
- Bjorkman PJ, Saper MA, Samraoui B, Bennett WS, Strominger JL & Wiley DC (1987). The foreign antigen binding site and T cell recognition regions of class I histocompatibility antigens. *Nature*, 329: 506-510.
- Stern LJ, Brown JH, Jardetzky TS, Gorga JC, Urban RG, Strominger JL & Wiley DC (1994). Crystal structure of the human class II MHC protein MHC-DR1 complexed with an influenza virus peptide. *Nature*, 368: 215-221.
- Dessen A, Martin Lawrence C, Cupo S, Zaller DM & Wiley DM (1997). X-ray crystal structure of HLA-DR4 (DRA\*0101, DRB1\*0401) complexed with a peptide from human collagen II. *Immunity*, 7: 473-481.
- Engelhard VH (1994). Structure of peptides associated with class I and class II MHC molecules. *Annual Review of Immunology*, 12: 181-207.
- Carson RT, Vignali KM, Woodland DL & Vignali DAA (1997). T cell receptor recognition of MHC class II-bound peptide flanking residues enhances immunogenicity and results in altered TCR V region usage. *Immunity*, 7: 387-399.
- Charron D (Editor) (1997). HLA: Genetic Diversity, Functional and Medical Implications. Proceedings of the XII International Workshop on HLA. EDK Medical Publishers, Sèvres, France.
- Hammer J, Sturniolo T & Sinigaglia F (1997). HLA class II binding specificity and autoimmunity. *Advances in Immunology*, 66: 67-100.
- Rammensee HG (1995). MHC ligands and peptide motifs: first listing. *Immunogenetics*, 41: 178-228 (Internet site with compiled MHC binding peptides and motifs: <http://wehih.wehi.edu.au/mhcpep/>).
- Rötzschke O & Falk K (1994). Origin, structure and motifs of naturally processed MHC class II ligands. *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 6: 45-51.
- Hammer J (1995). New methods to predict MHC-binding sequences within protein antigens. *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 7: 263-269.
- Sidney J, Grey HM, Ralph RT & Sette A (1996). Practical, biochemical and evolutionary implications of the discovery of HLA class I supermotifs. *Immunology Today*, 17: 262-266.
- Hammer J, Bono E, Gallazzi F, Belunis C, Nagy Z & Sinigaglia F (1994). Precise prediction of major histocompatibility complex class II molecules by anchor combinations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 91: 4456-4460.
- Godkin AJ, Davenport MP, Willis A, Jewell DP & Hill AV (1998). Use of complete eluted peptide sequence data from HLA-DR and -DQ molecules to predict T cell epitopes, and the influence of the non-binding terminal regions of ligands in epitope selection. *Journal of Immunology*, 161: 850-858.
- Garboczi DN, Ghosh P, Utz U, Fan QR, Biddison WE & Wiley DC (1996). Structure of the complex between human T-cell receptor, viral peptide and HLA-A2. *Nature*, 384: 134-141.
- Garcia KC, Degano M, Stanfield RL, Brunmark A, Jackson MR, Peterson PA, Teyton L & Wilson IA (1996). An alphabeta T cell receptor structure at 2.5 Å and its orientation in the TCR-MHC complex. *Science*, 274: 209-219.
- Kumar V, Bhardwaj V, Soares L, Alexander J, Sette A & Sercarz EE (1995). MHC binding affinity of an antigenic determinant is crucial for the differential secretion of interleukin 4/5 or interferon gamma by T cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 92: 9510-9514.
- Chaturvedi P, Yu Q, Southwood S, Sette A & Singh B (1996). Peptide analogs with different affinities for MHC alter the cytokine profile of helper T cells. *International Immunology*, 8: 745-755.
- Windhagen A, Scholz C, Hollberg P, Fukaura H, Sette A & Hafler DA (1995). Modulation of cytokine patterns of human autoreactive T cell clones by a single amino acid substitution of their peptide ligand. *Immunity*, 2: 373-380.
- Kersh GJ & Allen PM (1996). Essential flexibility in the T-cell recognition of antigen. *Nature*, 380: 495-500.
- Deavin AJ, Auton TR & Greaney PJ (1996). Statistical comparison of established T-cell epitope predictors against a large database of human and murine antigens. *Molecular Immunology*, 33: 145-155.
- Rotzschke O, Falk K, Stevanovic S, Jung G, Walden P & Rammensee HG (1991). Exact prediction of a natural T cell epitope. *European Journal of Immunology*, 21: 2891-2894.
- Stevanovic S & Rammensee HG (1994). Identification of T-cell epitopes using allele-specific ligand motifs. *Behring Institute Mitteilungen*, 95: 7-13.

31. Celis E, Fikes J, Wentworth P, Sidney J, Southwood S, Maewal A, Del Guercio MF, Sette A & Livingston B (1994). Identification of potential CTL epitopes of tumor-associated antigen MAGE-1 for five common HLA-A alleles. *Molecular Immunology*, 31: 1423-1430.
32. Parker KC, Bednarek MA & Coligan JE (1994). Scheme for ranking potential HLA-A2 binding peptides based on independent binding of individual peptide side-chains. *Journal of Immunology*, 152: 163-175 (Internet site for prediction of binding to several different MHC class I molecules: [http://bimas.dcrf.nih.gov/molbio/hla\\_bind/](http://bimas.dcrf.nih.gov/molbio/hla_bind/)).
33. Sette A, Vittiello A, Reheman B, Fowler P, Nayersina R, Kast WM, Melief CJ, Oeroff C, Yuan L, Ruppert L & Grey H (1994). The relationship between class I binding affinity and immunogenicity of potential cytotoxic T cell epitopes. *Journal of Immunology*, 153: 5586-5592.
34. Meister GE, Roberts CGP, Berzofsky J & De Groot A (1995). Two novel T cell epitope prediction algorithms based on MHC-binding motifs; comparison of predicted and published epitopes from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and HIV protein sequences. *Vaccine*, 13: 581-591.
35. Nagy ZA & Adorini L (1989). Why peptides? Their possible role in the evolution of MHC-restricted T-cell recognition. *Immunology Today*, 10: 132-138.
36. Kasahara M, McKinney EC, Flajnik MF & Ishibashi T (1993). The evolutionary origin of the major histocompatibility complex: polymorphism of class II alpha chain genes in the cartilaginous fish. *European Journal of Immunology*, 23: 2160-2165.
37. Johnson RP, Trocha A, Buchanan TM & Walker BD (1992). Identification of overlapping HLA class I-restricted cytotoxic T cell epitopes in a conserved region of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 envelope glycoprotein: definition of minimum epitopes and analysis of effects of sequence variation. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 175: 961-971.
38. Sercarz E, Gammon G & Palmer M (1990). T cell dominance and the vaccine problem: modifying effects on immunogenicity by residues at a distance from the site of T cell recognition. *Seminars in Immunology*, 2: 297-305.
39. Wraitham MN, Stewart JP, Janjua NJ, Pepper SD, Sample C, Rooney CM & Arrand JR (1995). Antigenic and sequence variation in the C-terminal unique domain of the Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen EBNA-1. *Virology*, 208: 521-530.
40. Franco A, Ferrari C, Sette A & Chisari FV (1995). Viral mutations, TCR antagonism and escape from the immune response. *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 7: 524-531.
41. Good MF, Zevering Y, Currier J & Bilsbough J (1993). "Original antigenic sin", T cell memory, and malaria sporozoite immunity: a hypothesis for immune evasion. *Parasite Immunology*, 15: 187-193.
42. Loftus DJ, Castelli C, Clay TM, Squarcina P, Marincola FM, Nishimura MI, Parmiani G, Appella E & Rivoltini L (1996). Identification of epitope mimics recognized by CTL reactive to the melanoma/melanocyte-derived peptide MART-1. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 184: 647-657.
43. Guilherme L, Cunha-Neto E, Coelho V, Snitcowsky R, Pomerantzeff PMA, Assis RV, Pedra F, Neumann J, Goldberg A, Patarroyo ME, Pileggi F & Kalil J (1995). Human infiltrating T cell clones from rheumatic heart disease recognize both streptococcal and cardiac proteins. *Circulation*, 92: 415-420.
44. Calvo-Calle JM, Hammer J, Sinigaglia F, Clavijo P, Moya-Castro ZR & Nardin EH (1997). Binding of malaria T cell epitopes to DR and DQ molecules in vitro correlates with immunogenicity in vivo: identification of a universal T cell epitope in the *Plasmodium falciparum* circumsporozoite protein. *Journal of Immunology*, 159: 1362-1373.
45. Fu TM, Friedman A, Ulmer JB, Liu MA & Donnelly JJ (1997). Protective cellular immunity: cytotoxic T-lymphocyte responses against dominant and recessive epitopes of influenza virus nucleoprotein induced by DNA immunization. *Journal of Virology*, 71: 2715-2721.